Laptop/Print Quota/Software Open Forum
Meeting Minutes

9-30-10
I. Joe Kobrianos Opened the open forum:
   a. We are Not here to switch laptops, give a reimbursement
   b. We are here to discuss the laptops of the future so that this does not happen again.
   c. VP of Technology
      i. Laptops were given out for what people needed for their major
      ii. Old Lenovo 2.8 now 2.53GHz, because 2.53 is still very powerful, 4 way streaming, virtual memory is more efficient
      iii. Mac- I7, Mac and Lenovo now both have I7 (lowest processor Mac makes, it was tested and found satisfactory/ more than sufficient.
      iv. Cost was an additional 375,000 for unneeded
      v. Mac also went down in MHz Mac 2.8–2.6 this year.
      vi. More efficient than Core 2 Duo
   d. Laptop Concern
      i. New laptop processors are faster, but graphic cards in machines are discreet last year it was radion graphics chip–required for hobbies.
      ii. Russ P.
          1. Costs are split over majors; lab space is not required for Design majors although they have studio spaces. Every student would be charged a different price based upon classes they took, which would be difficult and tedious. We have no tuition surcharges, where as other schools for engineering/science/nursing type majors do. Financial model is based on total cost across all academics.
2. Spec’d for what happens in the classroom, not made for gaming.

3. School is based on job preparation

iii. Concern: Why do we not give Lenox, Mac and Windows to Computer Science majors
    1. Russ P. said he would have to speak with C.S. Dep’t. Spec’s change each year

iv. VP of Tech
    1. Student Representation is on all concerns, laptop committee has students now
    2. Trying to involve us now

v. Concern: Have a laptop after school that will work for workplace
    1. Russ P.: Laptops are designed for school, not after students leave.

vi. Concern: incompatibilities in the machine

vii. Concern: Excess of hardware that cannot be used by the system (can only use 3Gigs of RAM, 32 bit memory systems do not work as well with over 3 Gigs and there are 4 Gigs on the computers)

viii. Many students’ reimaged the machines

e. Software
   i. AutoCAD crashing every day (multiple students) on the Lenovo
   ii. Adobe CS5 vs> 4
      1. Certain “out of academic” events need CS4
      2. CS5 was put on machines to fix a mistake
      3. CS4 should not have been on all machines, not licensed for all,
   iii. Learning to use certain programs that are needed after school/for internships—put on Photoshop, CAD etc on Lenovo’s to prepare students for the future.
      1. This needs to be addressed by Department
   iv. No specific part of tuition goes to laptops, money for tech fee goes into a pool
   v. Creative Suite is very appreciated, but administration cannot take out something students need to put that onto laptops

f. Print Quota
   i. BW was reading as color unless you tell it to print as black and white
ii. Decided by researching other schools and what individuals printed each year
   1. Chose the high end
   2. 6/3600 students have reached print limit so far

iii. Almost nothing in trash bins, a fifth perhaps because there is less wasteful printing with multiple prints of the same thing

iv. Prints that are saved up from previous years, and seniors and juniors this year will not have rollover
   1. Will go back and look at this and recalibrate based upon this semester

v. Students control printing, if students are judicious and accountable about printing then the print quota wouldn’t be needed, but about 250,000 was spent on printing last year

vi. Faculty—when we are told to print classes from them, it hurts our quota.